

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIANOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**FILED**

2008 AUG 28 PM 3:20

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Huf CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
 FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 RECEIVED DATE: 8/28/2008
 BY: RMB DEPUTY

CASE NO.: 08cv1456 H (CAB) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff
 CASE TITLE: Davis v. City of San Diego, et al
 DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Letter of Notice

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X <u>83.9</u>	OTHER: Case closed. Plaintiff must comply with Court order dated 8/14/08 [Doc No. 37] to re-open case.

Date forwarded: 8/28/2008ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGEIT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.

The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Judge Huf

Dated: 8/28/08
 cc: All Parties

By: KMB, pslc

United States District Court
Southern District of California
Office of the Clerk
880 Front Street, Suite 4290
San Diego, California 92101-8900

Case# 3:08-cv-1456-H-CAB

BRYAN DAVES JR.
PLAINTIFF
VS.

CITY OF SAN DIEGO ET AL.,
Defendant's

Letter of Notice

REJECTED

Judge: MARILYN L. HUFF
Date: Aug. 24, 2008

YOUR HONOR I'd REJECT your SECOND ORDER WITH THE INFORMATION REQUESTS FILED ON AUG. 22, 2008. THE FIRST MUST HAVE BEEN A TEST, BECAUSE THE OFFICIALS DIDN'T CALL ME FOR COMMITTEE THAT DAY, AUG. 21, 2008. YOUR ORDER COMES THAT NIGHT WITHOUT AN IFP FORM. I'D FEEL BETTER IF I DIDN'T TALK THE OFFICIALS ABOUT WHY I HADN'T CALL FOR COMMITTEE, THEY'LL JUST ASSUME I WAS OUT OF MONEY AND UNDUESS, LIKE THEY DO ALL THE TIME. WHEN I DON'T RESPOND LIKE A DOG TO THEM, WHEN THEY DO SOMETHING DISRESPECTFUL AND DISHONORABLE TO BRYAN DAVES JR. (B.D.) #62597*. I'D SENT THE IFP FORM TO THE MAIL ROOM + TRUST OFFICE TO NIGHT AUG. 24, 2008. WHY DO BRYAN DAVES JR. (B.D.) #62597* THE PERSONAL PLAINTIFF AS HEARD RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT THE PERSON OFFICIAL'S WHO IS IN CONTROL OF HIS MAIL WHEN IT LEAVES HIS CELL. LIKE I'M HEARD RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT JUDGE: BONETEZ AND PORTER DID CONCEAL-EVIL EVIDENCE, AFTER THEY'D ASK FOR THEM, THEN DISMISSED MONEY CASE WITHOUT A CHANCE OF A SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT. NON I HAVE A STICK, BECAUSE OF THESE CONSPIRING WITH DARETALIA STATE PERSON OFFICIAL'S, AND THE D.A.... WHY ARE THESE GLOVANCE 602, AND 42 U.S.C. 1983 ETC... IN THE INSTITUTIONS OF 42, ETC... DO I HAVE THAT RIGHTS, THE RIGHT TO EXERCISE THOSE RIGHTS THAT BEEN VIOLATED, BY A COMPLAINT FORM SUCCESSFULLY WITH A HONORABLE JUDGE YOUR HONOR... JUDGE: HUFF, HIGH DESERT OFFICIAL'S IS PERTINENT IN THIS ONGOING CONTROVERSY AGAINST BRYAN DAVES JR..

YOUR HONOR FORTNA DISTRICT ATTORNEY MS. BOBBIE McCANN AND HIGH DESERT OFFICIAL'S SET UP AN ENTERTAINMENT FEB. 21 OR 22, 2007 AGAINST ME AT COMMITTEE. AM I SAFE HERE AT HIGH DESERT NO! I WAS ATTACK BY TWO 2nd INMATE'S ON OCT. 4, 2007. AND STAB AT NIN FOLSOM MAR. 8, 2004 AFTER DARETALIA DID THAT THEY'D DIED.

NOODS PASS ON... I'M UP FOR TRANSFER TO SOLTANO VALLEY + BEEN 2 MONTHS NON. ALL TOGETHER 5. AND I WAS MUNICIPALLY INJECTED AT COCCOCOLON IN AUG. 30, 2005 ETC... MY LEG'S STILL SWOLLEN (LEFT) I HAVEN'T BEEN OUTSIDE IN 9 MONTHS, AND NOT USED THE SHOWER BECAUSE OF OFFICIAL'S SEXUAL HARASSMENT ETC... I'M IN AD SEC

Bryan Daves Jr. B.D.
#62597*
H.D.S.P. Aug. 24, 2008
Under Penalty of Perjury